Ministerial Office Ministerial Decision Report



General	Information	

General Information	
Ministerial Decision Type	Deciding of: an Appeal/Case/Application/Public Inquiry
Report Title	Appeal Decision: P/2020/0751 (Balmoral Suites, Clarendon Road, St Helier)
Minister	Environment
Signatory	Minister
Lead Department	Strategic Policy, Planning and Performance (SP3)
Lead Directorate	Strategy and Innovation (SP3)
	Public
Ministerial Decision Summary: Public or <u>Absolutely</u> / <u>Qualified</u> Exempt	Select if more than one Absolutely/Qualified Exemption.
Date decision made if different to date 'Ministerial Decision Summary' signed.	Select date.
Report and Supplemental Report Details	
Report Author	Private Secretary, Ministerial Office
Date of Report	25/05/2022
Supplementary Report Titles (If applicable)	Inspector's Report: P/2020/0751 (Balmoral Suites, Clarendon Road, St Helier)
Supplementary Report Authors (If applicable)	Graham Self MA MSc FRTPI
Date of Supplementary Report (If applicable)	20/03/2022
Ministerial Decision Report: Public or <u>Absolutely/Qualified</u> Exempt	Public
Relevant Case/Application/URN (Only complete if making a decision related to an appeal/case/application)	P/2020/0751
Relevant Proposition Number (Only complete if presenting Comments or if lodging an Amendment)	
Relevant Scrutiny Report (Only complete if presenting a ministerial response)	Insert S.R. number.
Associated Law(s) and/or Subordinate Legislation	Planning and Building (Jersey) Law 2002.
Action required if recommendation agreed	Department to take necessary action.
Resource Implications	There are no new financial and/or manpower implications.

Ministerial Office Ministerial Decision Report



Introduction

Following an appeal against a refusal of planning permission, Mr Graham Self was appointed as the planning inspector to prepare a report for the Minister's consideration.

Recommendation

In line with the recommendation of the inspector, reject the appeal.

Detail

The Minister agreed with the recommendation of the inspector and considered that the appeal was not valid as it was not lodged by a person aggrieved by a decision as defined by article 108(3)(a) of the Planning and Building (Jersey) Law 2002.